Recent Developments in Federal Income Taxation

Bruce A. McGovern Professor of Law and Director, Tax Clinic South Texas College of Law Houston Houston, Texas

> State Bar of Texas Tax Section First Wednesday Tax Update December 2, 2020

To obtain today's outline and slides: <u>https://tinyurl.com/outline12-2020</u>

https://tinyurl.com/slides12-2020

CLE Number for Today's Webcast: 174104407

Notice 2020-32, 2020-21 I.R.B. 837 (5/1/20) Outline: item D.1, page 2

- Federally-backed loans made to businesses under the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) must be used to fund payroll and certain other expenses.
- Businesses that use the loans in this manner can apply to have the loans forgiven.
- CARES Act § 1106(i) provides that any income arising from having PPP loans forgiven is excluded from gross income.
- Issue: can a business that has a PPP loan forgiven deduct expenses funded with the loan proceeds?
- Notice 2020-32: No. Section 265 precludes a deduction.
 - Section 265(a)(1): disallows a deduction for "any amount otherwise allowable as a deduction which is allocable to one or more classes of income other than interest wholly exempt from the taxes imposed by this subtitle."

Rev. Rul. 2020-27, 2020-50 I.R.B. 1552 (11/18/20) Outline: item D.1.a, page 4

- "A taxpayer that received a covered loan guaranteed under the PPP and paid or incurred certain otherwise deductible expenses listed in section 1106(b) of the CARES Act <u>may not deduct those</u> <u>expenses in the taxable year in which the expenses were paid or</u> <u>incurred if, at the end of such taxable year, the taxpayer</u> <u>reasonably expects to receive forgiveness of the covered loan</u> on the basis of the expenses it paid or accrued during the covered period."
- This is true even if the taxpayer has not submitted an application for forgiveness of the covered loan by the end of such taxable year.

2

Rev. Proc. 2020-51, 2020-50 I.R.B. 1599 (11/18/20) Outline: item D.1.b, page 4

- Provides a safe harbor:
 - Allows taxpayers to claim deductions in a tax year beginning or ending in 2020 for otherwise deductible expenses paid with proceeds of a PPP loan that the taxpayer expects to be forgiven after 2020.
 - Deductions can be claimed in 2020 to the extent that, after 2020, the taxpayer's request for loan forgiveness is denied or the taxpayer decides not to request loan forgiveness.
 - <u>Example</u>: calendar-year taxpayer submits request for loan forgiveness in November 2020 that is denied in February 2021. Taxpayer can file 2020 tax return in March 2021 claiming deductions.
- Requirements:
 - Claim deductions on timely-filed (including extensions) original return or amended return for 2020, or timely-filed original return for later year in which loan forgiveness is denied or taxpayer decides not to seek forgiveness.
 - Attach statement (titled "Revenue Procedure 2020-51 Statement") with prescribed information to the return on which deductions are claimed.

Miscellaneous Itemized Deductions 2017 TCJA § 11045

- Outline: item C.1, page 5
- For taxable years beginning after 2017 and before 2026, miscellaneous itemized deductions are not deductible.
- Includes:
 - Investment-related expenses
 - Unreimbursed employee business expenses
 - Tax preparation fees
- Notice 2018-61 (7/13/18): proposed regs. will clarify that estates and non-grantor trusts are not affected.

Miscellaneous Itemized Deductions 2017 TCJA § 11045 Outline: item C.1.b, page 6

- Proposed regulations issued May 2020
 - REG-113295-18, Effect of Section 67(g) on Trusts and Estates, 85 F.R. 27693 (5/11/20)
- Final regulations issued October 2020
 - T.D. 9918, Effect of Section 67(g) on Trusts and Estates, 85 F.R. 66219 (10/19/20)
- Amendments to Reg. § 1.67-4:
 - Clarify that § 67(g) does not deny deductions described under § 67(e)(1) and (2) for estates and nongrantor trusts.
 - Do not address whether such deductions will continue to be deductible for purposes of the AMT.
 - Provide guidance on the treatment of excess deductions on termination of the trust or estate by the beneficiary.

Miscellaneous Itemized Deductions 2017 TCJA § 11045

Outline: item C.1.b, page 6

- Amendments to Reg. § 1.642(h)-2 (final regulations issued October 2020):
 - Provide guidance under § 642(h) regarding net operating loss and capital loss carryovers under § 642(h)(1) and the excess deduction under § 642(h)(2).
 - Implement a more specific method which preserves the tax character of three categories of expenses in the hands of the beneficiary.
 - 1. Deductions allowed in arriving at adjusted gross income,
 - 2. Non-miscellaneous itemized deductions, and
 - 3. Miscellaneous itemized deductions.
 - Adopt principles used under Reg. § 1.652(b)-3 in allocating items of deduction among classes of income in the final year of a trust or estate for purposes of §642(h)(2).

Notice 2020-79 2020-46 I.R.B. 1014 (10/26/20) *Outline: item B.1, page 7*

- Sets forth inflation-adjusted figures for benefits and contributions under qualified retirement plans for 2021.
- Among other figures:
 - Elective deferrals to 401(k) plans: \$19,500 (with catch-up provision for employees age 50 and older of \$6,500).
 [unchanged]
 - IRA contributions: \$6,000 [unchanged]

Deduction of State and Local Taxes Outline: item D.1, page 8

- TCJA: An individual's itemized deductions on Schedule A for state taxes cannot exceed \$10,000.
 - Applies to aggregate of property taxes, and sales or income taxes.
 - Limit applies both to single individuals and married individuals filing jointly
 - Applies 2018 through 2025
- Some states have adopted workarounds, e.g., New Jersey gives a credit against property taxes for contributions to certain charitable funds designated by the state.

10

Notice 2020-75 2020-49 I.R.B. 1453 (11/9/20) *Outline: item D.1.g, page 14*

- States that proposed regulations will be issued.
- Proposed regulations will clarify that <u>state and local income taxes</u> <u>imposed on and paid by a partnership or S corporation on its income</u> are allowed as a deduction by the partnership or S corporation in computing its non-separately stated taxable income or loss for the taxable year of payment.
- Therefore, such taxes are <u>not subject to the \$10,000 state and local tax</u> <u>deduction limitation</u> for partners and shareholders who itemize deductions.
- Application:
 - Proposed regulations will apply to payments of taxes made on or after November 9, 2020.
 - Taxpayers also can apply these rules to specified income tax payments made in a taxable year of a partnership or an S corporation ending after Dec. 31, 2017, and before November 9, 2020.

Final Regulations Defining Dependent 85 F.R. 64383 (10/13/20) *Outline: item D.2, page 14*

- The 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act added § 151(d)(5), which reduces the exemption amount to zero for TY beginning after 2017 and before 2026.
 - Eliminates the deduction for personal exemptions authorized by § 151(a).
- However, it is still necessary to determine for various purposes whether an individual is a "dependent" within the meaning of § 152.
 - Qualifying child
 - Qualifying relative:
 - To be a qualifying relative, § 152(d)(1)(B) requires the individual's gross income for the calendar year be less than the exemption amount as defined in § 151(d).
 - Notice 2018-70: "because it would be highly unusual for an individual to have gross income less than zero, virtually no individuals would be eligible as qualifying relatives."
- Final Regulations:
 - In determining eligibility for head-of-household filing status and for the new \$500 credit (§ 24(h)(4)) for dependents other than a qualifying child, an individual must have gross income not exceeding \$4,150, to be adjusted for inflation after 2018 (\$4,300 in 2020).

Rev. Proc. 2020-45 2020-46 I.R.B. 1016 (10/26/20) *Outline: item D.3, page 14*

- Sets forth inflation-adjusted figures for 2021
- Among other figures:
 - Standard deduction for 2021 is \$25,100/\$18,800/\$12,550

Final Regs. On Eligible Terminated S Corporations 85 F.R. 66471 (10/20/20)

Outline: item D.1.b, page 16

- S corporations that existed before the date of enactment of the 2017 TCJA (12/22/2017) that converted to C corporations before 12/22/2019 and met certain requirements regarding shareholders are "eligible terminated S corporations" (ETSCs)
- Pursuant to changes made in the 2017 TCJA, ETSCs:
 - Can take into account any required § 481 adjustment (such as from converting from cash to accrual method) over 6 years (§ 481(d)), and
 - Can treat at least some distributions after the normal one-year posttermination transition period (PTTP) as allocable to the accumulated adjustments account (AAA) and therefore as nontaxable distributions (§ 1371(f)).
- Final regulations provide guidance on:
 - Definition of an ETSC
 - Distributions of money by an ETSC after the PTTP
 - Allocations of current E&P to distributions to shareholders

14

Commissioner v. Brokertec Holdings, Inc. 967 F.3d 317 (3d Cir. 7/28/20) *Outline: item H.1.a, page 17*

- The State of New Jersey's economic development plan made cash grants totaling approximately \$56 million to two corporations.
 - The grants were incentives for the corporations to relocate from New York to New Jersey.
- Issue: are the cash grants nontaxable, nonshareholder contributions to capital?
- <u>Held</u>: No. The grants must be included in the corporations' incomes.
 - To be a contribution to capital, a payment must become a permanent part of the recipient's working capital structure.
 - This was not satisfied here because the grants were not restricted to use as capital.
 - The corporations could have used the grants to pay operating expenses or dividends.

2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act § 13312 Outline: item H.1.a, page 17

- Section 13312 of the 2017 TCJA amended Code § 118.
- New § 118(b)(2) provides:
 - Non-shareholder contributions to the capital of a corporation made after 12/22/17 by any *governmental entity or civic group* are *not* excluded from the corporation's gross income.

Tax Capital Accounts of Partners Outline: item G.1, pages 18-24

- The 2018 Instructions for Form 1065 and accompanying Schedule K-1 required a partnership that does not report tax basis capital accounts to its partners to report, on line 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) using code AH, the amount of a partner's *tax basis capital* both at the beginning of the year and at the end of the year if either amount is negative.
- Notice 2019-20 2019-14 I.R.B. 927 (3/7/19), and FAQ on IRS website provide guidance on tax capital accounts.
- Draft 2019 Form 1065 and Schedule K-1 *required* partnerships to report *tax capital accounts* on Schedule K-1 (item b, page 20)
 Several other significant changes
- Notice 2019-66, 2019-52 I.R.B. 1509 (12/9/19): defers requirement of tax basis capital accounts to partnership tax years beginning after 2019 (item c, page 21)



- Notice 2020-43, 2020-27 I.R.B. 1 (6/5/20) (item d, page 22)
 - Proposes a requirement that partnerships use only one of two exclusive methods for reporting a partner's tax capital account that would apply to partnership taxable years that end on or after December 31, 2020.
 - Comments were due August 4, 2020
 - Rejects a "transactional approach" to determining tax capital accounts
 - Two proposed methods for determining tax capital accounts:
 - Modified Outside Basis Method
 - Outside basis less partner's share of liabilities.
 - Modified Previously Taxed Capital Method (hypothetical liquidation)
 - Based on method in Reg. § 1.743-1(d) for determining partner's share of previously taxed capital when a partner purchases a partnership interest and basis of partnership assets is adjusted under § 743(b) because partnership has a § 754 election in effect.

Draft Instructions - 2020 Form 1065 & Schedule K-1 Outline: item G.1.e, page 24

- Require partnerships to report each partner's capital account <u>on a tax</u> <u>basis</u> regardless of whether the account is negative.
- Each partner's tax capital account must be determined using a transactional approach.
- Beginning tax capital accounts for 2020:
 - If partnership reported tax capital accounts in prior year, or maintained books and records for tax capital accounts:
 - Beginning tax capital account for 2020 will be prior-year ending tax capital account.
 - If partnership did not report tax capital accounts in prior year and did not maintain books and records for tax capital accounts:
 - Beginning tax capital account can be determined <u>for 2020 only</u> using a transactional approach or one of three alternative methods:
 - Modified outside basis method
 - Modified previously taxed capital method
 - Section 704(b) method

19

Organic Cannabis Foundation, LLC v. Comm'r 962 F.3d 1082 (9th Cir. 6/18/20) *Outline: item E.1, page 25*

- An LLC and a corporation operated medical marijuana dispensaries.
- The IRS issued notices of deficiency disallowing their deductions pursuant to § 280E.
- The last day to file a petition in the Tax Court was April 22, 2015.
 - The law firm representing the taxpayers sent the petitions on April 21 by Federal Express "First Overnight" service.
 - Federal Express attempted delivery on April 22, but the petitions did not arrive at the Tax Court until April 23.
- Issue: were the petitions timely filed?
- <u>Held</u>: No-(1) the Tax Court clerk's office was not inaccessible on April 22, and (2) FedEx First Overnight was not, at the time, a designated private delivery service eligible for the timely-mailed-is timely filed rule of § 7502(a). Cases dismissed.

Boechler, P.C. v. Commissioner 963 F.3d 760 (8th Cir. 7/24/20) *Outline: item F.1, page 26*

- Following a collection due process (CDP) hearing, the IRS issued a notice of determination upholding proposed collection action.
- Under § 6330(d)(1), the taxpayer had 30 days to contest the determination by filing a petition with the U.S. Tax Court.
- The 30-day period expired on August 28, 2017.
- Taxpayer:
 - Mailed his petition to the Tax Court on August 29, 2017 (one-day late).
 - Argued that the 30-day period should be equitably tolled.
- <u>Issue</u>: is the 30-day period for filing a Tax Court petition to contest an IRS notice of determination jurisdictional and therefore <u>not</u> subject to equitable tolling?
- <u>Held</u>: Yes. Follows *Duggan v. Commissioner*, 879 F.3d 1029 (9th Cir. 2018), which reached the same conclusion.